

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,288	08/20/2003	Paul Diamond	PT100-3	5798
53255 7590 10/07/2008 EXAMINER PAUL DIAMOND				IINER
1605 JOHN STREET,			POPA, ILEANA	
SUITE 102 FORT LEE, N	IJ 07024		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1633	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/07/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/644,288	DIAMOND, PAUL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ILEANA POPA	1633	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 18 Augu	ust 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS I	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	

- 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
  - a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
  - b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
    - Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### NOTICE OF APPEAL

The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

### **AMENDMENTS**

- 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
  - (a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
    (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
  - (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
  - (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
  - NOTE: \_\_\_\_\_. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
- The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
- Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
- non-allowable claim(s).
- 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) X will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
  - Claim(s) allowed:
  - Claim(s) objected to:
  - Claim(s) rejected: 1-20.25.27.29.30.32 and 36-40.
  - Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-16,21,23,26,28,31 and 33-35.

### AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

- 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
- 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
- 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
- 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other: see continuation sheet.

/Joseph T. Woitach/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1633 Ileana Popa

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments to the claims to recite "conditionally excising" will not be entered because they raise new issues for consideration under 35 U.S.C. 112, first and second paragraphs.

#### Continuaiton of 13:

Claims 17-20, 25, 27, 29, 30, 32, and 36-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oliver et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5, 723, 765, of record), in view of both Porter (Trends Genet, 1998, 14: 73-79, of record) and Angell et al. (EMBO J. 1997, 76: 3675-3684).

It is noted that Applicant only argues Angell et al. In response to Applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobvolusness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See In re Kellor, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); In re Merck & Co., 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). It is the combination of reference which teaches the claimed. Oliver et al. and Porter teach methods of inactivating pre-selected genes. Although Oliver et al. and Porter teach causing RNA interference to existe the pre-selected DNA sequence from the plant cell genome, they do not specifically teach causing RNA interference to silence the repressor by providing a repressor having a sequence complementary to a strand of a viral double-stranded RNA and infericing the cell with the double-stranded RNA wins (i.e., they teach all claim limitations except silencing by providing a viral double stranded having a sequence complementary to the gene to be inactivated). However, Angell et al. teach such an approach is a more reproducible approach for inducing silencing in plants, as opposed to their methods. Based on these teachings, it would have been obvious to one of skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify the method of Oliver et al. and Porter by using the selicing method of Angell et al. to achieve the predictable result of obtaining reproducible inactivation of pre-selected genes in plant cells. Therefore, it is the combination of references above, and not Angell alone, which renders the claime diversering the references and the producible inactivation of pre-selected genes in plant cells. Therefore, it is the combination of references above, and not Angell alone, which renders the claime